

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Franchise Holdrs. HCO BULLETIN OF OCTOBER 27, 1960

Dist: Tech
Staff Aud.
D. of P.

REVISED CASE ENTRANCE

I am having no technical difficulty in South Africa getting cases started. As these have included the roughest cases in Scientology, you can see that my confidence in processing as it exists right now is well taken.

The only difficulty I am having is compliance with auditing run down and this is not much of a barrier as, in general the South African staff auditor is very good. So D of P's, be warned. If cases aren't moving today with the following run-down in use, look for gross auditing errors.

This is what I am using on all cases:

Check for the havingness Process. If the one that works is found it will loosen the E-meter needle and bring the tone arm toward (not necessarily to) the clear read for the pc's sex. The right havingness process will do this in a dozen commands. So only use a dozen commands to test each havingness process. If the process doesn't work in 12 commands, (which is to say, doesn't loosen the needle) then skip it and go to the next for test.

If you have found the havingness process for the case, and it ceases to work after a session or two, look for ARC breaks, PTPs between sessions. With these cleaned up the havingness process will start working again.

Rule: The Make-Break Point of any case is getting the case to run consistently on an Objective Havingness Process. No gains will be stable unless an objective havingness process is established for it and used often in sessions.

Rule: When a havingness process ceases to work, ARC Breaks and PTPs must be cleaned up before the havingness process will work again.

In clearing up PTPs and ARC breaks use only O/W on related terminals which is the havingness version.

Rule: A case must be prepared and repaired with O/W to make a havingness process work.

Exception: If a havingness process is not clearly established in a few hours (not more than ten) revert to "failed help" only.

To prepare a case to run a havingness process, I have been "shaking the case down" for withhold as follows:

Run "what question shouldn't I ask you?" until needle no longer quivers in reponse even though meter sensitivity is increased to 16.

Run what have you done, what have you withheld (general form) until needle is unresponsive and tone arm moves toward clear.

If case does not respond well, if case gives thinkingness answers for mass, I at once go to failed help.

Failed Help

This is the best case-cracking process now known. I have worked with it since 1957 as a line of examination and it emerges as the lowest verbal entrance process. Therefore this process is a very important one.

Help is actually the most effective version of taking responsibility. When O/W will not run well, when a case just doesn't respond on the meter even though giving out with hair-raising overts, the responsibility button is out. This is recovered by "failed help".

Failed help is run in this fashion, alternately,

"Who have you failed to help?"

"What have you failed to help?"

Two way comm on failed help is not always well handled. The auditor should not direct the pc's attention to time periods or terminals. The process is run permissively,

All cases will run on failed help. It is a one shot clear process. But used exclusively it introverts too hard. Havingness must be discovered as a process and run as havingness is the make-break point of the case;

To go further, here is the proceeding so far:

For Average Cases

Try for havingness.

If you find it go on to locate the right confront process.

If you have the havingness and the confront, assess for a good, general whole track terminal. Using the havingness and the confront liberally, run alternate help on the terminal found.

Typical session thereafter is run with Model Session Form (all in one session).

- 1st Process - Objective havingness
- 2nd Process - Alternate help on the assessed terminal.
- 3rd Process - The objective havingness process.
- 4th process - The confront process.
- 5th Process - The objective havingness process.
- 6th Process - Alternate help on the terminal.
- 7th Process - The objective havingness process.
- 8th Process - Alternate Help.
- 9th Process - The objective havingness process.

How long to run each? Run Havingness always to a loose needle and TA nearer clear. Run alternate help or confront process to a tight needle and pc near present time (cyclic aspect). If needle gets very sticky and TA ceases to move well on the Confront or Help, get over to havingness fast. Run havingness only until needle is loose and case feels better. Don't run havingness as the process that solves the case. Run havingness only as the process that stabilizes the case. Havingness runs to loose needle. All other processes run to a tight needle. All processes (except objective havingness) if they are working make the TA move. If the TA doesn't move, the process isn't working. Run havingness and try again.

Poor Cases

If havingness cannot be found at once, go into "What question-" and O/W. Then try to find havingness. Be very careful to keep ARC breaks and PTPs cleaned up.

Find the confront process and proceed as in an average case.

Low Cases

If pc is diffident about having auditing, if pc critical of others, if pc ARC breaks easily, if pc favours significances over objects, start in with failed help as above and try as above to get case up to havingness.

Patch up case frequently with failed help, O/Ws. Keep the case running and the havingness established and effective.

The difference between average/poor cases and low cases is that one keeps up the havingness with O/W in the average/poor and in the low cases keeps havingness running with failed help and O/Ws.

This should get some understanding around.

I believe as of now that there are no impossible cases.

If a case won't talk or be audited as a chronic condition (not just as a result of ARC breaks) we still have the CCHs.

The lions say to tell you hello.

LRH:jsrbs

L. RON HUBBARD

Copyright (c) 1980

by L. Ron Hubbard

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED